68-P

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

S.R 930f 06 Sl 1 and 2
January 1907

1910.

(S. R)

File No. 153 of 10

Serial Nos. 1 to 15

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 to 15

Part B for March 1917

SUBJECT.

Recovery of the advance paid to the Inamdar whose claims to Toddy revenue ~~whose claims to toddy revenue~~ are rejected by Govt, Civil Special reference to Damanagunda jodi village, Channapatna Tk.

Sl 15 Dy Comm Bangalore DC
No 7E 4823 Dt 1. 3. '17.

Requests the Deputy commissioner, Bangalore to file a suit against the Inamdar of Damanagundu village to recover the advance made to him by Govt

W D 88—GPB—50,000—24-7-16.

A. Janakiram
12-3-17.

G. R. S. 11] 1910.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(S. R)

NOTES.

File No. 153/K

FILE HEADING.

Recovery of the advance paid to Inamdars whose claims to Toddy revenue are rejected by Govt

From

No.	Dated	Received

Diary No.	Enclos.	Spare Copies.	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

NOTES—First Sheet.

GPB—20,500 - -8 S-14

Nos: 3530 & 3648

1

G.R.S. 13]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Two petitions: (one from the Inamdar and the other from the mortgagee of the Inam village of Damanmanjan village Chamarajpet Taluk)

2 The Inamdar states that the village has been advertised for sale on the 22/5/11 for the recovery of the advance paid to him pending the decision of the claim to lands in excess of the village which was recently rejected. He brings to the notice of Govt that the village cannot be sold as the amounts paid in advance cannot properly be called arrears of revenue.

3 The mortgagee also states in addition that as mortgagee she is entitled to direct hold on the property.

Perhaps Govt cannot withdraw at this stage. The parties have to represent their claims to the Dy Comm who, it may be presumed, has authorised the sale. Submit for orders. The petitions are not stamped.

7/3/11.

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied or slips pasted on this form.

4 M-n to be aware that the petitioner's contention has some force. Please put up with L R Code and the rules relating to these advances. Any

17/3/11

GPB—95,000—21-4-09

2

G.R.S. 13]

Routine Notes

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc.; not intended for permanent record.]

5 The L.R. Code and the Printed collection containing the orders re mian Claims are put up

6 Pages 13, 17, 21, 22, 30 & 31 contain the orders in which advances were sanctioned to Chandus subject to future adjustment

Subject for orders

No. 83 of 08/8/11 is somewhat doubtful whether the foot can bring back mian Village on for recovering advances given on account of Excise dues. Br III may be consulted

21/3/14

8 The Gazette carries the Sale Note and so, has not been put up

I would suggest Br I (A.R.) be consulted as to whether the case falls rightly under Sec 193 d & e declaring what moneys are leviable under the provisions of Ch VI. Rule, regarding the manner of recovery of arrears &c &c may also be perused

28/3/11

N.B.—~~Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied or slips pasted on this form.~~

Signature of Officer

GPB—35,000—21-4-09

Br II

G.R.S. 13]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Subd

1 The Gazette copy containing the notification was not put up as the Branch copy had been put up in another connection and as no spare copy was available in the Govt Records

2 Next as regards the rules relating to the advances it is submitted that beyond the reference noted in flag X there appears to be no orders relating to such advances.

3 These advances are practically part of the amount collected by Govt from the licensees over which an inamdar has a right, if he was a privileged inamdar, to be entitled to 1/3rd of excise under the Samvad or the document and this claim recognised

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

Subd
mk-s
24/3/4

GPB—35,000—21-4-09

G.R.S. 13]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

by Govt. The advances were ordered to be given subject to future adjustment In this particular instance the Surveyors claim to Toddy excise was rejected and he was not entitled to the Toddy excise before of his village Hence he has to pay back the amount advanced by Govt a ~~[illegible]~~

2 In these circumstances it is doubtful if this item can be recovered as arrears of land revenue.

3 The license conditions, it may be mentioned, contain a provision that the ~~defaulter~~ amount due by the defaulter may be collected as arrears of land revenue

The Board may issue orders

~~[illegible]~~

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

GPB—35,000—21-4-09

G.R.S. 131

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

102

14 Under Section 193 of the Land Rev: Code Govt may recover the monies advanced to the Inamdar in question ~~if those sums be made the provisions of the Land Rev: Code.~~ if they can be treated as an arrear of land revenue under any law or rule or under a contract. So far as this Branch is aware there is no law or rule which —

N. B—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

*GPB—30,000—23-2-11

G.R.S. 13]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

provides that such advances are recoverable as arrears of land revenue the only ~~power~~ power in which Govt. ~~can~~ may recover such monies under the provisions of the Land Rev. Regulation is by means of an agreement entered into with the individual at the time of giving the advance specially securing therein

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

GPB—30,000—23-2-11

G.R.S. 13]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

a right on the part
of Govt to receive
the monies as arrears
of land revenue.

15 When the orders
authorizing the Dy
Comrs to make advances
to Inamdars were passed
by Govt, it was natural
to expect that the Dy
Comrs would take proper
agreements from the
parties concerned
to enable Govt to recover
such advances in
cases in which the

I doubt very much whether the Dy Comrs drew any such inference they must have assumed the amount because they were authorized to do so by Govt

N. B—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

GPB—30,000—23-2-11

G.R.S. 13]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Mundas' rights to
body revenue were
liable to be rejected
by Govt Before deciding
the questn... it is essential
to see whether any
agreement has been
entered into by the
Munda at the time
he took the advance
authorizing Govt to
recover if necessary,
the monies advanced
to him as an arrear
of land revenue. If
no such agreement
forthcoming, Govt.

N. B—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

PB—30,000—23-2-11

9

G.R.S. 13]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

will have to file a suit against the Executive for the recovery of the amount

Subd.

Y.L. (26)
25/3/11 16

25/3/11 Under the law
A.H.C. a stipulation at the
time the payment was
made that the amount
should be refunded if
as a result of the
enquiry in progress
the claim of the mandar
was rejected it is
doubtful whether repayment
can be enforced much
less as an arrear of land
revenue. The original
order under which the
advance was sanctioned

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

GPB—30,000—23-2-11

110

T.R.S. 13]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record]

---

may be put up there
should be a distinct
agreement to refund
the money if the
claim be rejected
and it is a question
of ~~whether a contract~~
a mere statement to
this effect in the note
which sanctioned the
advance without a
much dilla from the party
would give a right to
claim a refund of the
money as the case would
not fall under s. 72 of
the Contract Act.
Besides this if the
amount is to be
recovered as an arrear
of revenue an additional

N. B—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

GPB—30,000—23-2-11

G.R.S. 13]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Condition 6 that effect has to be made in the agreement the point is of great importance and in case in which the Port Authority should be consulted

25/3/11

Branch II

The Copy of the Land Revenue Code just up which this has not been returned by Mr I

27/3

Since rec'd Mr.

Sealed

17 Re file relating to the Govt. of [illegible] Sept 1894 as Not-traceable

18 Ext. 7.20-95 Inside Contains the originals of the latest orders regarding the grant of advances to Ryots on account of Cess in fifteen villages from Sl Nos 34248 in [illegible]

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

P2R

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

SM File No. 153 of 1910

Serial No.

that it was the intention of Govt. to give advances only to such of the Zemindars as were on the face of it clearly and without any dispute entitled to the revenue and quite within the account they were apparently entitled to. It was thought that the Collectors would exercise their best discretion in the matter & that there would be no occasion for asking for the refund of any amount already paid to the Zemindars. This will be supported by 8635 236. in the file subsp. T&N. 2720-95.

9. There were however, several cases in which the Zemindars had to be asked to refund portions of amounts paid to them from time to time as advances under the General Orders of Govt. Unfortunately in this case the account is pretty large (about Rs 5000). Had the Collector had in this case reason to believe that the Zemindar was entitled to the excess revenue, the trivial it happened to be otherwise. The difficulty of recovering the amount

NOTE— Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

Serial No.

which has now arisen is the first of its kind.

2. In almost all the cases of payment of advance that have come up to Govt. the Revenue Courts have made the payments by cheques (form not before prescribed) upon statements of realisations obtained from Talukdars. No agreement has been obtained from the parties binding them to repay the amounts in full or in part to which they might be found not entitled. In both the above facts the legal aspects of the case have to be ascertained from Mr III and the Govt. advocate as desired by Mr I

Bids for sale of the Forest rights titled Incl-2 of the Poraiyar in the village on the 22nd. May 911 is advertised in page 216, Part II of the Gazette dt. 23/2/11 for recovering from the Poraiyar the sum of Rs 5228-9-8 due to Govt. The Poraiyar contends that his village cannot be sold & that if it is sold Govt. will be liable to him for

NOTE— Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

G R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

S/4 File No. 153 of 1910

Serial No.

for demands. Another Petr named S. Antamma represents that the village is previously mortgaged to her for Rs 4000/-. That her amount is the first charge on the village and that the sale should be made subject to the said encumbrance. He also a mail for orders whether further proceedings cannot be stayed pending orders on the petn, now under discussion.

Submitted for orders.

Sd/ S.L.
28/7/11
Sd/ [illegible]
28/7/11

23. There seems to be no doubt that the advances were made with the intention that they were to be recovered from the Inamdars if their claims to toddy revenue ~~were~~ should subsequently be rejected. There is no doubt also that ~~that~~ there was no misapprehension about this on the part of the Inamdars also. The very use of the word "advance" seems to show this and the order of 1894 ~~is clear~~ distinctly states that the advances were to be subject to

NOTE— Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

Serial No.

(future) adjustment in accordance with the final decisions of Govt on the various claims". The reason why these advances were made has also been set out in the several Govt orders viz to satisfy the clamour of the Inamdars who were getting impatient at the delay in the disposal of their claims.

The use of the Expression "to the Inamdars entitled" in the G. O. of 1894 and of similar Expressions in some later orders on the subject should not make any difference in the interpretation of these orders. What was meant by these Expressions seems to have been that only those Inamdars whose claims had, in the opinion of the Deputy Comrs, a fair or prima facie chance of recognition at the hands of the Govt were to receive the advances. For Example, an Inamdar who had neither a Shop nor a grove in the village nor any authority in the Samiad, would not be entitled to receive an advance.

4. Bearing on the point. The advances made are therefore recoverable in every case in which the claim to the Revenue

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 153 of 1910

Serial No.

has been thrown out by the Govt

25 Coming now to the question of the mode of the recovery of the advances there seems to be no authority for treating them as if they were recoverable as arrears of land revenue. Merely ~~by~~ bringing them under the provisions

26 It is not clear from the records whether any agreement was taken from the Inamdar that the advances made ~~were~~ might be recoverable as arrears of land revenue. If such agreement has been taken, the procedure laid down by the Land Revenue Code would apply, though it is ~~doubtful~~ open to some doubt whether advances made prior to the passing of Regulation VI of 1905 (amending Sec 193 of the L. R. Code) can be recovered as if they were land revenue arrears. But the probabilities are that no such agreements have been taken*.

27 We need not be afraid of the alleged encumbrance in favour of S. Anekamma on the general principle that all debts due to Govt shall have priority, the arrears in question may be perhaps be recovered as a first charge ~~to~~

* Office—issue the draft postcard to clear up the point

3. No. Rev. D.C. Bangalore no. FL 4582/09-3-11 Enquiries whether any undertaking has been taken as stated

Mis point to be further investigated before the matter is put before the Appellate Court

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

Serial No.

28 Forwarded to the Govt Advocate for favour of an Early Expression of opinion.

B. O.
S. Venugamaiya
for Sec. to Govt

29/3/11.

29. Though the contract has not been reduced to writing there can be no doubt that there exists an implied undertaking on the part of the Inamdar to refund the advances made to him during the pendency of the enquiry into the claims preferred by him to the (toddy) Excise Revenue realised by Government in his village. Virtually the advances are a portion of the Excise Revenue of the Government and become repayable by the Inamdar as soon as his claim was decided adversely to him. Thus the amounts due by him, under the clause " and all amounts due to the Government by any person on account of any contract relating to the Excise revenue" occurring in section 28 of Regulation V of 1901 and are recoverable "as if they were arrears of land revenue". If this section be held not applicable, I am not aware of any other legal mode than a civil suit, of enforcing the repayment of these advances.

30. 2. The amount advanced to an Inamdar, though recoverable in the manner provided for the recovery of a Revenue demand, cannot, like a revenue demand, have

NOTE— Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

Serial No.

have precedence over a mortgage created by the Inamdar before the Inam village was actually attached.

1-4-1911 S. Narayana Rao
Govt Adv

Subd for orders
Secy 56
4/4/11
Q.S.
4/4/11

31 Though perhaps not expressly contemplated, the case may be brought under sec 28 of the Excise Regulation as pursued & observed by the learned Govt Advocate. If this be so, the Govt will clearly have priority over every other creditor by virtue of Secs 143 and 193 of the Land Revenue Code. But the Govt Advocate is of a diffr opinion.

32 [File to be resubmitted on the 6th inst, if by that time the Deputy Commrs reply is not received]

4/4/11 SK

33 Resolved the Dy Commr accordingly

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

G.R.S. 12
General and Revenue Secretariat

S/M File No. 153 of 1910

(9)

Serial No.	
	send not received
	Reg'd
	6/4/11
	Mr Blair 34 Issue an urgent reminder at once & resubmit the file.
	6/4/11 SH on Saturday
4	From Keeling Bower No 676 S 5/4/11
	States that no receipts appears to have been obtained when the advances were made and that the amount paid to the Treasurer in 4 cases appears to have been recovered hence prosecute to Coercive proceedings
	35 The statement of the by Bower in the letter under ref. to says that "the account appears to have been recovered calls for special attention. The Dy Comr should have been definite in his statement especially when a large account is involved -
	36 It is also not clear whether

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

G.R.S. 12
General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 153 of 1940

(20)

Serial No

The accounts referred to in para 2 & 3 are the Sales of Effects sold for arrears of Rent for 1340 Fasli

Sale

D-5

8/4/41

37 There is time yet. Please ask the Deputy Comm^r (Do) to state definitely whether the sum for which the village has been advertised for sale has been received or is yet outstanding. Ask for an urgent reply as petitions have been received against the legality of the intended sale.

Thirdly

8/4/41

38 Draft put up

AM-7
10/4/41

D-5
10/4/41

10/4/41

5 To Mr B. S. Kumarasami Naidu

D.O. no. Jl. 47/41-2, 10.4.

Requests him to say definitely whether

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27.4.09

(4

G. R. S. 12
General and Revenue Secretariat.

S.O. File No. 153 of 1910

Serial No.

Whether the Sum for which the Inam village has been advertized for sale has been received or is yet outstanding

6 From the Dy Com^r, Bangalore
No 533-D 17-4-11
States that the Amildar of the Chennapatna Taluk writes to say that no portion of the amount advanced has yet been received

Use statement that the amount appears to have been recovered in the previous letter of the Dy Com^r relates to the Inamdar of Varadahalli & not the Inamdar in question

39 Submitted for orders.

ASR (12) 1914 2-5 1914

40 The legality of the sale of the village for the recovery of the advance amount as if it is an arrear of land revenue has been questioned by the petitioners.

41 The matter has been discussed fully in the foregoing notes and the Govt Advocate's opinion has also been recorded. It will be seen that the procedure intended to be adopted can be justified though the Govt Advocate seems to think that Govt can not have any priority over the mortgage. Please see pages 17 & 18.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

See 7) 18/4/11

G.R.S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat

SR File No. 153 of 1910

Serial No.

42 The sale of the village for the recovery of the advance, under the Code seems to be quite justifiable. The petr may be informed accordingly.

(Sd) A Dewan) CM 19/4/11

SA 19/4/11

2755
20-4-11

43. I agree with the Government Advocate. The amounts of advances may be recovered as arrears of land revenue which advances have no priority over a previous mortgage

KPN 20/4/11

11198
21-4-11

44 The DC & 10 Asst accepted the DJ's proposal. The misapprehension should be cleared up as to the Damian grades villages (as which villages have been excluded) but as regards Kadahalli village an analogous case to a prior DC the rule will be

45 I think that the present procedure (though supported by an alleged precedent) is correct. Please circulate to 2d Counter

CM 21/4/11

Asstt Engr for early attention 25/4/11

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27.4.09

G.R.S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

S Q File No. 153 of 1910
Bangalore Dt.

Serial No

7 To the Deputy Commr. No. 52188 24/4/11. Enquires how the recovery of Rs 437-5-7 was ~~received~~ made from the Inamdar, how many trees are there in the village & how many were tapped during each of the 3 years.

8 46. Bring a fresh D.O. at once

26/4/11

Dept D.O. drawn -

Asst (12)
26/4
26/4/11

47. D.S. Sag

26/4/11

8 To the Deputy Com^r Bangalore
D.O. no. 7E 5319 dt 26.4.11
Referring Para 2 of his Cell dt 4.4.11 enquires if the excess amount due from the Inamdar of Varadahalli, Magadi T.K. was really recovered as after having recourse to Coercive steps according to Land Rev. Rule and if so under what authority

48. May the file be circulated to the 2nd Councillor in the meantime as per para 2 of the Dewans note on the previous page?

Submitted for order

Asst (12)
27/4
27/4/11

49 Yes

Subdt 2nd C^r

28/4/11

2046

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

G.R.S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

GR File No. 153 of 1910

Serial No.

50 I cannot agree with the Government Advocate (who also by the way is diffident) that S. 29 of the Excise Regn applies to this case. The person who receives the advance cannot be said to be the 'grantee of any privilege'; there was ~~is~~ no contract relating to the Excise revenue between him & the Govt' accg to which the money is due. In fact there was only an implied contract for return of the money, but this is implied not from anything relating to the excise revenue, but from the having received money of Govt to which he is subsequently found not entitled.

51 I am therefore of opi-

8?

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

G.R.S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

SR File No. 153 of 1910

Serial No.

sion that the money cannot be recovered as arrears of land revenue by summary process; and that the claim of Govt cannot have priority over the mortgage. S. 143 of the Rev Code ~~gives~~ gives priority only in respect of moneys recoverable (under this" Chapter) as arrears of revenue & S. 357 of the Code of Civil Procedure applies ~~to~~ only to distribution by a Receiver of an insolvent's estate.

29/4/11

Reply letter to 926-G.C has not yet been read. It is in continuation of correspondence about the case.

52. Subd to Govan

2/5/11

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

114 15
2 5. 11

53. Mesd/ 2 Mys & Mr Dewinger

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

GR File No. 153 of 1910

Serial No.

should be arrested

54 Pending final orders on the question raised Mr Dy C Bangalore may be confidentially instructed not to confirm the sale fixed for 22nd May 11 (the sale may be held, but not the confirmation) submitted to the Hon'ble Mr Ag C (Excise)

Asst Secy (Excise)
13/5/11

Rec'd in Office
13/5/11

(9) From the Dc Bangalore no 788/10-5-11 states that the amount referred to in 8.7 is only an adjustment to the five farm rent suits above mentioned.

(10) From the Dc Bangalore no 589/11-5-11 Replies in the affirmative to the enquiry made in 8-9.

55 Put up draft P.O. as proposed
13/5/11

56 Draft P.O. filed.

The replies of the Dy Cs in this connection referred to in the Devan's note have been read and laid before the Devan at 10½.
No. 83.
13/5/11

No. 83
13/5/11
13/5/11

11 To the Deputy Com, Bangalore

P.O. no. GL 5663/13-5-11

States that the sale may be held on the date notified viz 22nd instant, but that pending the decision of Govt the sale should not be confirmed

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

S. R. File No. 153 of 1910

Serial No.	
57	The file is resubmitted for final orders in the case. The Dy Com'r replies referred to in the Dewan's order dy 9-5-11 were received a few days ago and submitted to the Dewan
58	A petition has been received from S. Antamma, mortgagee of the village, soliciting early orders on her first application and petition praying that pending final orders, the sale may be ordered to be stayed.
	Subd:
59	Subd to Dewan (The D.C replies have been Subd a few days ago) 17/5/11.
	Mr. Mark Urgent. 11/5/11 19.5.11
60	The sale must have been held in the 22nd inst, & the Dept Com'r will be repatriated. This file may be resubmitted with the D.C. Comm's report when received. 20/5/11
	P.T.O. 1/6/11

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

S.R File No. 153 of 1910

Serial No.

From S. Antamma

Reminder Petition dt 20.7.11

Requests to be informed how her previous petition has been disposed of, so as to enable her to seek professional advice in the matter

61. The Dy Com has not yet sent the Sale report.

Submitted for orders.

ASA (121) 24/7 — 62 Put up Do reminding at once. [The file should have been marked Urgent]

29/7/11

63

Draft D.O. Issued -

ASA (121) 29/7 — 64

29/7/11

To the Deputy Com, Bangalore

No. R 448 dt 29.7.11

Requests him to report the result of the sale at a very early date

From

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

F. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

S-R File No. 153 of 1910

Serial No.	
12	From the Deputy Com. Baraset D.O. no. 65 Dated 8 1911 States that the Asst Com. (Accept. report that though the village was putup for sale on the 22nd May, no bid was secured and that there were not many people present during the auction, probably due to the existence of a mortgage on the village Submitted for orders. Asst (12) 3/8/11 65 (1) a fresh sale will have to be held or other steps should be taken for the recovery of the amount. 66 (2) The Zamindar has given notice of filing a suit for a decree for Establishing his claim to Wholly Exclusive 4/8/11 Sec'y 67 Further steps may perhaps be taken after the question of the suit is discussed as suggested in the other file. 4/8/11 S-R-22-11 25/102. Filed-Sept 1911 (1877) 4/8/11 5/8/11

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

S.R. File No. 153 of 1910

Serial No.

6 Some steps should be taken to recover the advance made to the present owner of the Jodi village. If the recovery cannot be made as an arrear of land revenue for the reasons stated by the learned Advocate, we shall have to institute a suit in Civil Court. The village was not sold on the advertised date for want of bidders. But the village having been declared forfeit preparatory to sale, must be deemed to be in the hands of Government.

6 The Jodidar has given notice of a suit in Civil Court to establish his right to Jodi Excise revenue. Why should we wait for recovering the advance until the question of suit is discussed? Perhaps the present Govt Advocate can suggest a course of action.

Ass Secy II

NOTE— Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 153 of 1910

Serial No.	
No 7033 of 11 August 1911 encl 3 files as per list	70 Forwarded Hon'ble Govt Advocate for favour of opinion. The file may kindly be regarded as urgent B.O Secy Land Revenue 8/8/11 for Secy.
U.O. 14 dt 7-1-9-1911. II 2/9 RM	71 This file was taken up, after the disposal of the connected file in which the Jodidar gave notice under sec 80 of the New Code of Civil Procedure, of his intention to file a suit against Govt. 2 The advance in question cannot in my opinion, be recovered as an arrear of land revenue under the provisions of the Land Revenue Code. Recovery can be made only through the ordinary process of law by an action in the civil Court.

NOTE.—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

Serial No. 73

The legality of the proceedings already taken under the Land Revenue Code is liable to be called in question, but as the village has not yet been sold and as actual possession has apparently not yet passed into the hands of Govt. the matter does not seem to call for further action at present. The D.C. may be instructed confidentially not to take further steps under the Land Revenue Code towards the recovery of the advance. The threatened suit by the Jodidar to establish his right to the todda & cocoa revenue may be awaited. Should he file a suit after the expiry of the prescribed time, Govt. could in that connection file a cross claim in respect of the advance. If within a month or so he takes

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

no action in the matter the Govt might file a suit for the recovery of the advance.

74 In the circumstances of the case it seems best not to give any reply to the petitioner.

(Sd) Advance for Govt advocate

31-8-1911

75

Submitted for orders

Sd

Sd

2/9/11

Sd

76 Submd to 1st Cr

Sd

4/9/11

Sd 4/9/11

77 The coercive process under the Land Revenue Code need not

MGCPB—50,000—17-2 05

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

SR File No. 153 of 1910

Serial No.

be persevered with.

78 The Govt advocate's opinion may be followed as regards a suit for the recovery of the advance.

Dewan 5/9/11

13438
6.9.11

8/9/11

79 I agree. [There has been no forfeiture, and there could have been none.] 8/9/11

80 Put up a conf do. to-day.

12/9/11

12/9/11

Submitted

81 Draft prepd. "until fourteen orders are passed by Govt in the matter" added at the end subject to appl. as otherwise there would be no bar to the final order directing the cessation of all coercive process &c.

12/9/11

82 12/9/11 Issue

14/9/11 14/9/11

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

S/M File No. 153 of 1910

Serial No. 13

D.O. No. H 1376 & 16/2/11

Informs Head Coercive process under the L.R. Code need not be proceeded with in this case until further orders of Govt.

With reference to the Govt. Advocate's ~~note dtd. 32 & 33~~ opinion contained in pref. 32 & 33 of these notes it is submitted that no suit has till now been filed by the inamdar Kodandaramiah against Govt. The file is granted for orders ~~on the question~~ on the question of filing a suit against the inamdar

RR
28.11.11.

There is no fear of the Suit being time barred. Art 149 of the Lim. Act gives 60 years for a Suit by or on behalf of Govt.

28/11/11

Keep till fee's return from Delhi

Seen

28.11.11.

Under the Kindly talk to

Under talk to

13/12/12

9/12/12

84 Please put up a draft D.O. letter to the D.C. asking him to take steps to institute a suit thro' the Public Prosecutor

9/12/12

9/12/12

NOTE— Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 153 of 1910

454

Serial No.

85 Draft Sealed
Case 50
4/2/12
PA
14/2/12

SH
15/2/12

Sec. 86 2nd Cr + Dewan

CBS
20/3/12

87 Draft appd by 2nd Cor

386
23.3.12

86 Why not Varaen Cayu withhold rental on Shop or trees or both? How long will it approximately take? Attd to Mayor

87 (Believe the Inamdar is not entitled to rental on tree tax)

CBS
7/8/12

Please remind me about this after the Dewan's return
SH
18/5/12

Office may thank Mr. Cotterell and submit.
SH
7/5/12

90 The claim has in fact been rejected by the Govt. and the Inamdar has filed a suit against the Govt now in the Dist. Court Bangalore to have the claim set aside.
1187
9.5.12
7/5/12
SH

91 Please speak to me at Bangalore
SH

Note.—Contd. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 153 of 1910

Serial No.	
1187 9.5.12	92. Subd'r Dewan 7/5/12
	93 File recorded & directed as the decree has returned to Bangalore (See 50 DR 09) 20/5/12
	94 My engagement by Mr May was made under a misapprehension. — Letters went till this suit is disposed of. 13/9/12
	Offg Asst Secy 18/9/12
	95 Resubmitted for orders. The Govt Advocate has reported in his letter (no 107) dt 15.10.12 that the suit brought by K.V. Kothandarama Iyer against the Excise Com'r & the D.C. Bangalore has been dismissed with costs.
S.R. 22-11-9 (Linked)	ASst 19/10 18/10
	96 A Suit may not perhaps be filed agst the Shanbag to recover the advance amount.
	See 97 28/10

NOTE — Contn. sheet.

GPB.—50,000—12-8-10.

Case worker
to bring up the person
& explain the case 28/10

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

SR File. No. 153 of 1910

Serial No.	
	98. Please put up draft accordingly WS 20/11
	Draft A submd.
	Mr. 83 30/11/12
	100. Dfts may be appd 8/12/12 SL
	Sec)
	101 This file was got back as a report has been received from the Excise Commr stating that the Dharwar has preferred an appeal in the Chief Court against the judgment passed by the Sessions Judge of Bangalore and as further action has to be taken ~~till~~ after the result of this appeal is known. May this file

vide SR 22-11 linked to this.
Mr. (recently separated)

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—20,000—20-1-12

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 153 of 1910

Serial No.

be kept in "Srs" till the result of the appeal is known?

Submit for orders.

83 Bkk
22/1/13.

Note these be simultaneously Bring in

22/1/13

102. As the appeal is ascertained to be posted for hearing on the 10th March 1913, further it is likely to be finished soon. Hence, further steps regarding the institution of the suit for the recovery of the amount advanced may be taken. The draft, already prepared may therefore be approved. If the appeal goes against the Govt, then of course, there will not be any necessity to pursue the suit further. As the fact that the appeal is pending need not therefore prevent the preliminary steps being taken for the filing of the suit to recover the advance.

(In the Linked file) SM-22-11 (Since removed)

DY Secy

28.1.13

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—20,000—20-1-12

(40

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 153 of 1910

Serial No. 103.

The Govt. Advocate may be unofficially consulted on the following points :—

(a) What is the limitation for the suit intended to be filed on behalf of the Government for the recovery of the advance already made to the Godowns

Please see p 3 S. Mr. Secy's Noting applies. — applies.

(b) Whether, if time permits, it is not expedient to await the disposal of the appeal filed to the Godowns against the decree of the District Judge, Bangalore.

Forwarded to the Govt. Advocate for Kindly noting his views.

D. M. Narasinga Rao
For Secy. 29/1/13

No 78 88 of 29 January 13 with 3 collections Pl see as per list 29.1.13

L.O. 34 of 12-13. 104. My answer is :—

II 29/1 Mr.

(a) The period of limitation is 60 years under art. 149 of the Limn. Regn. (IX of 1871)

(b) We may preferably await the disposal of the appeal.

S. Doraiswami Iyer
29-1-13. for Govt Adv

Govt. Mr. 83 30/1/13. Blk.

(Dy Secy) Agree. 30/1/13
Dlew
30/1

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—20,000—20-1-12

(41)

ND 1233—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—S.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | J R File No. 153 of 1910

Serial No.

(C 16-2-1917)

In connection with Govt Advocate in Mysore has reported that the appeal preferred by the party concerned against the judgment of the Dist Judge of Bangalore was dismissed by the Chief Court with costs.

Draft on these lines of these are put up on 1912 is submitted for orders with reference to paragraphs 96, 100, 103 & 104 of these notes (Supra)

L
III

22/2/(91). Before writing to the Govt Mysore advocate may again be consulted also. with reference to para 104 above

(Revenue)

18
21.2.17

It is hardly necessary to Consult the Govt Advocate He has given his opinion more than once that a suit may be filed.

The draft to Govt Court may be approved

226/24-2-17

2nd member)

BR
24.2.17

Issue as decided by the

L
26/2

18
24/2

Issue BR
26.2.17.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 1233—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—S.

G. R. S. III-2)

General and Revenue Secretariat: | File No. 153 of 1910

Serial No.	
15	To the Deputy Commissioner. No 7L 4823 D 1.3.17. Request the Deputy Commissioner Bangalore to file a suit against the Inamdar of Damanaguda village to recover the advance made to him.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

4/3

D 3530

To

The Secretary to Government

General and Revenue Department.

Ootacamund.

S.R. 153-10 87-70.

Sir

I see from the Government Gazette of last week that my main village Damanagunta, Chennapatna taluk, has been advertized for sale on the 22nd May 1911. I beg to state that the amount alleged to be due by me, to recover which the said village is now sought to be sold is not the "arrears of revenue" for which alone the Government has the authority to sell. The amount is the advance made to me and to my predecessor in title for the Kaddy revenue which I as the Inamdar of the village was entitled to get, but which the Government had collected. Under these circumstances, the Government cannot bring the property to sale which would be, if held, an unauthorized sale. If however, the sale is held, the Government, I beg leave to state, will be liable to me for all the damages thereby caused.

I beg to remain
Sir
your most obedient servant
M. V. Mahalingaraja Urs
Inamdar
c/o D. Ramanna Esqr.
Advocate, Sultan Pettah
Bangalore

Dated Bangalore
3rd March 1911.

D 36 48

2)

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore
General and Revenue Departments.

SO-153-10. Dno. 2

Sir,

I learn from a notification dated the 20th February last, published in Part II Page 216 of the Mysore Gazette dated the 28th February 1911, that the Damanu gondu Jodi village of the Malur Hobli, Channapatna Taluk, has been advertized for sale on Monday the 22nd May 1911, for the recovery of an alleged advance said to have been made to the Jodidar on account of the Jodidy Revenue of this Jodi village. The village in question has been mortgaged to me for Rs 4000/- thus giving me a direct hold upon the property for the recovery of my dues.

The advance now sought to be recovered by Government does not likewise constitute a direct charge upon the land, since an advance is not an item of "arrears of Revenue" described in either the Revenue or Excise Regulations for the recovery of which and the property can be sold. If an advance has been paid to the Jodidar, it was towards the Jodidy Revenue due to him and nothing more.

However as the mortgagee of the said village, I am entitled to the first charge upon the property now advertized for sale, and the village cannot be sold therefore free from the said incumbrance. I therefore request you to kindly order that mention be made in the sale notification, the fact of the above said mortgage as otherwise the sale would be invalid.

I beg to remain
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

Dated Bangalore
11th March 1911

89 S. Autumnus.
151/12 Sultan Pettah
Bangalore City.

3)

G.R.S. 26]

Re cash advance

File No. 53

Serial No. 3

Diary No. of letter replied to

No. H4557

General and Revenue Secretariat

(SM)

, *the* 19

29-3-

To

The Deputy Commr

Bagalkot District.

Date of issue 29-3-14

Signed by W Bruce Campbell

Sir,

Chamnapatna Taluk

With reference to the sale of Ramanagudda Inam village for the 22nd May 1911 for the recovery of Excise advances made to the Inamdar and advertised on page 216 Part II of the Mysore Gazette dated 23/2/1911 I am directed to enquire whether on each occasion the advance was made an undertaking has been obtained from the Inamdar that the

over.

SUBJECT.

advances shall be
recoverable as a Revenue
demand or arrear of Land
Revenue. An immediate
Reply is requested as the
matter is very urgent

I have &c

Sd/- Mfg — Secy to Govt

List of enclosures,

Copied by J M Campbell

Examined by

GPS—25,000—12-o-10

D: 4033 5)

Deputy Commissioner's Office,

Bangalore District.

Dated Bangalore, 4th April 1911.

No.-----

S/L 153-10 8(4)

From

B.J.Kumaraswami Naik, Esq., B.A.,

Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.

To

C.S.Balasundaram Iyer, Esq., B.A.

Offg: Secretary to the Government of H.H.

the Maharaja of Mysore, Genl and Rev.Depts.,

B a n g a l o r e.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.Fl.4557/S.R.153-10-2 dated 29th March 1911, re steps for the recovery of the amount advanced in excess to the Inamdar of Damangundu Village in the Chennapatna Taluk on account of toddy revenue, I have the honor to state that the amount was advanced to the Inamdar long before the rules were framed for the final settlement of the claims of Inamdars to the toddy revenue of their villages vide Government Proceedings No.2074-83/R.F.166-92 dated 2nd August 1901 and -- that no undertaking appears to have been obtained when the advances were made from time to time.

2. In disallowing the claim of the Inamdar of Varadahalli, Magadi Taluk, to the toddy revenue thereof in Government order No.Fl.4653-4/S.R.93-05-2 dated 26th January 1906, Government were pleased to direct in the said order the recovery of the amount paid in excess to the Inamdar and the amount appears to have been accordingly recovered after having recourse to coercive steps according to the Land Revenue Rules.

3. The amount recoverable from the Inamdar of Damangundu village now under reference being enormous, viz.Rs.5,227-9-8 steps have similarily been taken towards the recovery thereof in communication with the Excise Commissioner.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant.

Deputy Commissioner.

8 4033

190

From

Secy

The Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District.

To

The Secretary to the
Govt. of H. H. the Maharaja of
Mysore, Genl. & Rev. Secy. to:

Dated 5th } Bangalore
Despatched 6th } April 1911.

Received

General No. 676.
No.

Enclosure No.

Stating that no undertaking appears to have been obtained when advances were made from time to time to the Jodidars of Damangundu village, Chennapatna Taluk on account of Toddy Revenue.

6)

SR 153-10
Misc. D. Do. 264714

14-4-11

To

B. J. Kumaraswamy Naidu Esq
Deputy Commr

Dear Sir,

Please refer to your official letter no. 676 of 8th instant regarding ~~the~~ ~~taken~~ for the recovery of the amount advanced ~~in~~ to the Inamdar of Damangunda Village Chamrajpatna Taluk, as a/c of Toddy Revenue

2 May I request you to let me know definitely at your earliest convenience whether the Inam village in question has been advertised for sale has been

recovered.

recovered or is yet outstanding.

~~2~~ (2) in what manner whether by L.R. Code or recourse to a civil suit the recovery ordered in the J.V. of 26th June 1986 has been effected?

The favour of a very early reply is requested as petitions have been received against the legality of the intended sale.

Yours sincerely

Asstt Secy

DFF

HM-77
10/4/11

10/4/11

8)

D.O. No. 533. 7/4/11

8/2 mdr 9/4

54182
8P. 153-1c
8 nov 6

Deputy Commissioner's Office,

Bagalore, 15th April 1911.
17

Dear Sir,

With reference to your D.O.letter No.Fl. 4710 dated the 10th instant, requesting me to furnish information whether the sum for which the Inam village of Damangundu in the Chennapatna Taluk has been advertised for sale, has been recovered or otherwise, I am to inform you that the Amildar of the Chennapatna Taluk writes to say in his letter dated 12th April 1911 that no portion of the amount advanced has yet been recovered.

Yours Sincerely,

To

S.Hiriyanniah, Esq., M.A., B.L.,

Assistant Secretary to Government.

Urgent

9)

26821/8

Subs

Dewan ordered that this shd issue by early -

SRR 24.4

J W SR 153-10-7
q. 24-4

The Dy Commr
Bangalore -D

Dy Commrs notifn dated 28th February 1911 published at page 216, Part II Mysore Gazette dated 25th February 1911 notifying the sale of the Jodi Village of Dannayakanakoppa in Channapatna Taluk, on the 22nd of next month

Shows that out of an advance of Rs 5665 14 9,

Copy Sign
24-4
2 1/2 pm

orginally made
a sum of Rs 5 =
437 ½ has
been recovered. The honor
Undersigned has to enquire
how this recovery
was made -

2. How many
rate trees ~~property~~ worthy
speaking are there
in the Village, how
many were tapped
during each of the
past 3 years &
what shop or shops
were so supplied -

B.O.

C.S.I.
Secretary

11)

[G.R.S. 26]

File No. 153-10
Serial No. 8

Diary No. of letter replied to 4182

No. 76-53/4
26-4-11

General and Revenue Secretariat
(Sep Rev -)
, *the* 19

To D.O

Date of issue 26-4-11

Signed by W Hirayanna

Dear Sir,

Please refer to para 2 of your Special letter no. 676 Ds 4-4-11 in which you say that the amount paid in excess to the Inamdar of Varadahalli village, Magadi Taluk "appears to have been recovered after having resorted to Co-ercive steps according to the Land Revenue Rules", and let me know very early definitely at a very early date if the amount was really recovered in

over.

SUBJECT.

the manner aforesaid and
I do under that authority.

Yours Sincerely

Asst Secy

B. J. Kumaraswami Naidu Esq
Dy Coll Bangalore

(121)

List of enclosures,

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—20,000—12-8-10

Statement showing the number of date trees tapped in Jodi Damanagundu Village during the last three Official years i.e., 1907-1908 to 1909-1910.

Name of Taluk.	Name of Shop to which the trees were tapped in the said Jodi Village.	Number of date trees tapped.	Remarks.
	1907-1908.		
Chennapatna	Santemogenahally	50.	
	Damanagundu	110.	
Malavally	Settyhally	13.	
	Malavally Kasaba	570.	
	Yedaganahally	12.	
	Aregowdanhally	15.	
	Thorebommanahally	60.	
	Hallugala	60.	
	Appegowdanhally	30.	
	Total	920.	
	1908-1909.		
Malavally	Hosahally	80.	
	Annur	50.	
	Total	130.	
	1909-1910.		
Chennapatna	Damanagundu	160.	

Assistant Collector & C.
for Deputy Commissioner,
& Bangalore District.

153-10.

S4531/14

190

From The Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District

S.O. 153/10 Dy

To The Officiating Secretary to the Govern-
-t of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
Revenue and Revenue Departments.

Dated 8 May 1911.

Despatched 10 May 1911.

Received

General No. 768
No.

Enclosure No. one

Furnishing certain information re-
garding the Damanagumon village
Channapatna Taluk.

GPB—70-reams—27.12.09

From,

B. J Kumaraswamy Naik, Esquire B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District

To,

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esquire, B.A.,
Officiating Secretary to the Government
of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.
General and Revenue Departments.

No:

Dated 8-5-1911.

Sir,

With reference to your docket no: $\frac{\text{Jl. 5218}}{\text{S.R 153-10}}$ dated 24-4-1911, calling for certain information regarding the Jodi Village of Damangundu, Chennapatna Taluk, I have the honor to state that the sum of Rs 437-5-1 in question is not any recovery made from the Jodidar towards the adjustment of advance made to him on account of the toddy revenue of the village but is the one-fifth of the grove farm rent of the Village from 1892-1893 to 1896-1897 to which the Inamdar is entitled, as his case falls under Rule 4 of the Rules framed in Government Proceedings no: $\frac{\text{2074-83}}{\text{R.F. 166-92}}$ dated 2-8-1901.

About two thousand trees are reported to exist in the village. A statement appended showing the number of trees tapped in the village under reference for the past three years and also the names of Shops supplied with the toddy produced from those trees.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most obedient servant,

For Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

(8)

Rev 153-10
Mno 10

Immediate

D Dde Ecg. 6.31pm

Rec'd 12th May

04534

Deputy Commissioner's Office,
Bangalore, 11th May 1911.

Dear Sir,

With reference to your D.O.letter No.Fl. 5319 dated 26th ~~May~~ April 1911, asking me to let you know definitely if the amount paid in excess to the Jodidar of the Varodahalli village, Magadi Taluk, on account of the toddy revenue was really recovered after -- having recourse to coercive steps according to the Land Revenue Rules, I am to invite your reference to the sale Notification dated 11th October 1907, published on page 1184 of Part II of the Mysore -- Gazette, dated 7th November 1907 from which it will be seen that coercive steps under the Land Revenue Code were adopted for the recovery of the amount. The defaulter Jodidar having paid the amount before the date of sale, the village appears to have been not sold. It would appear that steps were taken for the recovery of the amount in pursuance of the Government order No.Fl.4653-4/S.R.93-05-2 dated 26th January 1906, and no objection raised regarding the legality of the

Mistake

Immediate
+ Confidential 17

G.R.S. 26]

File No. 153-10

Serial No. 11

Diary No. of letter replied to

No. 26 5663

DO letter. of 13-5-11

To

D Kadu

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 19

Date of issue 13 May/11

Signed by W L Murrumbidgee

With reference to the advertised sale of the Damana-
Zamdar village, Chamapatna
TK, for the recovery of advances
made to the Inamdar, I am
desired to state that the sale
may be held on the ~~appointed~~
Date, but that pending
the decision of Govt ~~regarding~~ the sale
~~the~~ the sale should not be
confirmed I am

notified vide the 22nd instant

Sd

for B J. Kumaraswamy Naidu
Dy Comm
Bangalore Dt

SUBJECT.

BK

18)

DIARY No. 454

1911-1912
SECTION SR.
FILE No. S.R.154-10.
SECTION No. 13

D.O. No. 65.

Deputy Commissioner's Office,
Bangalore, 1st August 1911.

Dear Sir,

With reference to your D.O.No.Fl.418 dated the 29th ultimo, I am to state that it is reported by the Closepet Sub-Division Assistant Commissioner, that the village of Damanagundu in the Chennapatna Taluk, was put up for sale on the 22nd May last but that no bid was secured. He further says that there were not many people also during the auction sale, probably due to the existence of the mortgage of the said village to -- another for Rs.4,000/-.

Yours Sincerely,

To

S.Hiriyannaiya, Esq.,
Assistant Secretary to Govt.
Genl and Rev.Deptts.

Confidential

19)

4.9 G.R.S. 26]

File No. 653 C

Serial No. 13

Diary No. of letter replied to 454

No. 76 1376

General and Revenue Secretariat

(S/L)

, *the* *19*

~~To~~

Dharwar

dt 16-9-11

Date of issue 16-9-11

Signed by W Hirapurmath

Write reference to your DO. no 65 of the 8th accepted 1911 pertaining the Sale of the Dumanageudu Jodi village for recovering the amount advanced to the Jodidars on account of the taddy revenue of that village, I am ~~directed~~ desired to state that coercive process under the L R. Code need not be proceeded

over.

SUBJECT.

20) Write until further orders
as requested. Send us the
Cheque.

CSB 4/9/11

Exd. B.D Kumarasami Asst Secy Govt
Bangalore

Copy to Excise Com^r.

No 20 1377
dy 16-9-11

List of enclosures,

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—20,000—25-9-10

21)
153

G.R.S. 26]

File No. 60
Serial 14

Diary No. of letter replied to 454

No.

General and Revenue Secretariat
(SM)
, *the* 19 .

To

The Collector
Mangalore Dist

Signed by

Sir

In continuation of my D.O. letter no H1376 SM 153-10-13 dt 16/9/14 regarding the recovery of the amount advanced to the Poraloor of Damana Gudde village, Channapatna Taluk, on account of the loss of revenue of

over.

SUBJECT.

that village, I am directed to request that you will be so good as to take steps to file a suit in the proper Court against the persons there in consultation with the Public Prosecutor.

I have the honour to be Sir, Your most obedient servant,

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—10,000—28-2-11.

V

G. R. S. 26] 7/2

SUBJECT..... Request the Dy Comm^r Bangalore to file a suit against the diwan of Damanagundu village to recover the advance made to him.

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Section SR
File No. 153-10
Serial No. 7-

General and Revenue Secretariat

(SR)

, the - 191 .

No. 76 4823
21 March 17

Date of issue 1-3-17

To The Dy Comm^r Bangalore Dt.

Signed by W Sreenivasa Aiyangar,

Shows in the file

In continuation of this Office D.O letter no. 76 1376/SR 153-10-139 dt 16/9/1911 regarding the recovery of the amount advanced to the Jagirdar of Damanagundu village, Chennapatna Tk, on account of toddy revenue, I am directed to state that the Govt Advocate in Mysore reports that the appeal preferred by the said Jagirdar against

over.

the decision of the Dt Judge, Bangalore dismissing the suit against the Excise Commr to establish his right to the excise revenue from toddy of his village, has been dismissed with costs by the Chief Court of Mysore and to request you therefore to be so good as to file a suit at a very early date in consultation with the Govt Advocate in the proper court to recover the advance made by Govt to the Inamdar.

I have the

24-2-17
for Secy to Govt.

Copy of the above is forwarded to the Excise Commr in Mysore in continuation of this Office endorsement no: PE 1377/802 153-10-3 & 16/5/1911 and 80 no: PE .../802 154-16-2 & ...

24.2.17
for Secy to Govt.

Copy of the above is forwarded to the Govt Advocate in Mysore for favour of information and guidance

24.2.17
for Secy to Govt.

GPB—20,000—14-2-14

22/2/1917

List of enclosures.

PRO PE 4823 to PE 4825

1-3

Copied by

Examined by